UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Ottilia Hertel KG, et al.	
DI : .: (C())	CASE NO. C 06-1226-JL
Plaintiff(s),	
V. Odermatt, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have me following stipulation pursuant to Civil L	et and conferred regarding ADR and have reached theR. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	llowing ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration ✓ Early Neutral Evaluation Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) (Note: Parties who believe that an early	
	eds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ethis form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process:	ntify process and provider) The parties agree in principle
to pursue simultaneously Early Neutral Evaluat	ion (ENE) and private mediation in an attempt to facilitate and will soon agree on the names of potential private mediators.
	on by: c (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated:May 31, 2006	/s/ Thomas R. Beer
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: May 31, 2006	/ŝ/ David A. Sauers Attorney for Defendant

[XRQRQSEND] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session
90 days from the date of this order.
other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 9, 2006

